

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/660,173	09/11/2003	Shane R. Robbins	3299.2.1.2	7601	
21552	7590 06/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MADSON &	& METCALF	DUONG, HUNG V			
GATEWAY SUITE 900	TOWER WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	OUTH TEMPLE	2835			
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84101		DATE MAILED: 06/14/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/660,173	ROBBINS ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit	.)			
		Hung v Duong	2835	A D			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	9SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application in the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Sta	nge			
Attachmen	· ·						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/2003.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	2)			

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 25 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 9 of U.S. Patent No.6, 661,667.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Application/Control Number: 10/660,173 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

each other because it has been held that omission of an element and its function (the communication component, the non-backplaned communications) in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hamilton et al (US 5,929,377) teach apparatus for preventing leakage of electronic radiation from electronic enclosures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

HVD

05/26/04

Hung Duong Primary Examiner

How V, ha